

| | | |
|---|-----------------------|------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/644,102 | HOLMES ET AL. |
| | Examiner Tho D. Ta | Art Unit 2833 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Tho D. Ta. (3) _____

(2) Gunnar G. Leinberg. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 12, 7

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Attorney agreed to correct the dependency of claim 12 from "1" to --7--.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)